

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Family Name	Cwiakala
Given Name	David
Person ID	1286011
Title	Stakeholder Submission
Type	Web
Family Name	Cwiakala
Given Name	David
Person ID	1286011
Title	JPA 35: North of Mosley Common
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	NA
Soundness - Effective?	NA
Compliance - Legally compliant?	NA
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	NA
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	<p>We have lived in this area since 1998, and we have seen a marked rise in car ownership and traffic congestion, especially in last few years following the building of hundreds of new homes off Mosley Common Road. We have a dog which we walk every day, and we have noticed a significant rise in diesel/petrol emissions from the traffic. Air quality has undoubtedly deteriorated significantly in the last few years and the Plan only includes air quality levels up to 2017. I estimate over 300 new homes have been built since then in this area, which in reality means 300-500 additional cars on the local roads each day.</p> <p>In addition nothing is mentioned about improving the road infrastructure. The junction where the A577, Mosley Common Road, crosses the A580 is the main route to either Manchester along the A580 or to the M60 at Worsley island. At the morning rush hour traffic backs up from the M60 all the way to the A5082. There are 2 reasons for this, the increased traffic due to over capacity on the roads, and the complete inadequacy of the junction. The junction grinds to a halt whenever a vehicle wishes to turn right onto the A580 towards Liverpool as there is no room to get past, neither is there a filter on the traffic lights. I understood this junction held up planning approval for the recently built housing developments, and an improvement to the junction was promised. As far as I can see no improvements are evident. The building of a further 1100 houses will only exacerbate both the traffic congestion and the air quality.</p> <p>Additionally local schools, nurseries, doctors surgeries and dental practices are at full capacity, so what are the plans for the new residents?</p>
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to	The number of houses proposed is just too many for the infrastructure especially given how many house have been built in this small area in the last 3/4 years

make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.

I thought the plan should be to develop former industrial brown field sites with more appropriate, affordable housing closer to town centre sites, with more amenities available.

For the proposed development to be manageable , new doctors surgeries, dental practices, schools and nurseries need to be built close by.

The road infrastructure must be improved as the present structure will mean the rush hours will be prolonged every day with the subsequent loss of productivity.